SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL 1 2 3 SM_1_Details of the rehabilitation algorithm 4 Details of the rehabilitation algorithm 5 6 6. **RTA-PHASE (ALGORITHM)** 7 6.1. ENTRANCE IN ALGORITHM: LEVEL OF PHYSIOTHERAPY 8 9 10 Level of physiotherapy:

The "Level of Physiotherapy" is the initial phase for entry into the algorithm. The athlete 11 remains in this phase until the acute symptoms have resolved and ankle-specific rehabilitation 12 has begun (from level 1) after having passed the entry criteria for testing (AFS>40, 30 sec. 13 weight-bearing, no signs of acute inflammation, walking without pain). This level aims to 14 initiate undisturbed wound healing, achieving painlessness, and the restoration of a free range 15 of motion (ROM). The initiation of undisturbed wound healing and painlessness is of 16 paramount interest at this stage, as all forms of injury (tissue damage) through inflammation 17 lead to immediate changes in neurosignature, especially movement behaviour, which, if 18 unrestored, will lead to long-term chronic impairmants.⁶⁹ Targeted physiotherapeutic 19 interventions, especially manual therapy, bear the potential to positively influence 20 21 neurosignature at an early stage and should be the predominant element of early functional rehabilitation in the acute and sub-acute phase. In addition, manual therapy shows positive 22 effects in preventing restrictions in ROM, especially dorsal extension.³⁷ Clinical guidelines 23 recommend that a full passive range of motion should be restored two weeks after LAS.^{4,5} There 24 is strong evidence for the use of several manual therapy procedures (lymphatic drainage, active 25 / passive soft tissue and joint mobilisation, anterior-posterior talar mobilisation) to reduce 26 27 swelling, improve painfree movement and normalize gait pattern. Furthermore, current clinical practice guidelines (CPG) find weak evidence for the use of cryotherapy, diathermy, lower laser 28 and NSAR and no evidence for using ultrasound.⁹ 29

32 **Progression from Level of Physiotherapy to Level 1:**

- 33 Level of Physiotherapy: Aims
- 34 The objective is to ensure assessment for Level 1 entry tests.

35 Level of Physiotherapy: Progression (entrance) criteria and considerations

The following (progression) criteria apply for the release of Level of Physiotherapy to performlevel 1 testing:

- **38** AFS > 40/100
- **39 •** 30 sec. weigt bearing
- 40 No signs of inflammation
- 41 Walking without pain

The decision to start an active rehabilitation program remains challenging. Therefore, the 42 athlete's readiness to safely execute functional performance tests must in itself be tested.²⁹ 43 Therefore, specific criteria to start functional performance tests must be fulfilled: 40/100 points 44 in the AFS describe the benchmark for performing ADL within the next two weeks.³⁶ This is 45 similar to the biomechanical loads of ankle-specific rehabilitation that begins shortly thereafter. 46 The athlete is expected to be able to stand on one leg for 30 seconds without pain, as the level 47 1 entry tests are single-leg weight-bearing tests. In addition, the athlete should show no signs 48 of inflammation and should be able to walk without pain.¹⁴ Starting the testing and therapy level 49 too early negatively influences the neurosignature and increases the risk of maladaptive 50 movement behaviour (relieving posture, compensation) in rehabilitation training right from the 51 52 start.

54 6.2. PROGRESSION WITHIN THE RTA-PHASE

55 Level 1 (Proprioception)

56 Level 1: Aims

57 The aim of level 1 is the early initiation and training of sensorimotor functions without 58 biomechanical impacts on the ligament structures. In addition, level 1 prepares athletes for 59 running (milestone) of the subsequent level (tab.1).

60

61 Level 1: Progression (entrance) criteria and considerations

- 62 To enter training level 1, the athlete is required to pass the following criteria:
- 63 AFS-Score >40/100
- Passing the clinical examination
- Passing the performance tests (mod. Stork Balance Test; Y-Balance Test)

66 SM_1.1. Table 1. Level 1

Aim / Focus	Tests	Progression criteria	Explanation choice of tests	Methodological considerations	Training exercises	Explanation choice of training exercises
Proprioception	Ankle Function Score	AFS > 40/100	 Integration of questionnaires recommended^{11,24,38,70} Is an evaluative rather than discriminative instruments⁷¹ simple instrument, recommend for daily practice⁷² additional as a prognostic tool and progression control^{36,37} 	 AFS >40 ensures the ability of Acivities of daily living ADL³⁶ ADL acitivies correspondens to biomechanical loads of level 1 training exercises 		
	Clinical examination	ADT / TLT	 <i>Clinical control</i> (standardised, individual), ensures reliable assessments over time Sensitivity (0.50-0.96); Specifity (0.67- 1.00)^{15,38,51,53} 	 recommend after day 5 post injury³⁸, correspondens to this point of time; grading system ensures standardised assessment over time 	Weight-bearing in progression modell; principles of motor learning (e.g. external focus strategies) ⁷³	Low biomechanical impact on ligamentous structures Only vertical loading
		Swelling	 the assessment of ankle joint <i>swelling</i> is advocated¹⁷ recommend in clinical practice guidelines⁸ 			Muscle pump (lymphatic) Closed chain exercise, plantarflexion, improves collagen synthesis
		ROM	ROM	 ROM in ant. direction is required for test and training^{41,42} ROM sohuld be resumed first, before functional rehabilitation starts³⁸ 	Stationary bicycle	(keeping low impact)
		MFT (4/5)	- Manual <i>muscle testing</i> is standard test of muscle strength ^{28,39,40}	 muscular activation of the peroneus muscles crucial for weight-bearing (activation, stability) 4/5 ensures strength against resistance (correspondens to stance stability) peroneus muscles may be impaired after ankle sprain injury⁴⁹ 	Ankle muscle (peroneal) strength training	Adequate strength is neccessary for normal movement patterns ⁶¹
					Crosstrainer	Prepares for running
	Proprioception tests	Mod. Stork Balance Test	 Static test; sensory⁴² established in lower limb rehabilitation 	 low impact test (,,low load") stance ability prior tested (30 sec. single leg stance) MFT 4/5 ensures the ability for stable stance (muscle activation) 	Alter G	

Y-Balance Test - dynamic test; motor-control ⁴² - correspondens to demands of level 1 training exercises - recommend and established in rehabilitation - strong reliability ^{41,73,74,75} - full ROM required in ant. direction → prior manually compiled during physical therapy ^{41,76} - good validity ^{41,75} - good validity ^{41,75} - more dynamic and load compared to Stork Balance Test (progression) ^{76,77,78}	
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

71 Level 2 (running)

- 72 Level 2: Aims
- 73 The aim of level 2 is to start running (milestone) and a progression in jumping skills (tab.2).

74

75 Level 2: Progression (entrance) criteria and considerations

- 76 The athlete must pass the following criteria to enter training level 2:
- **•** AFS-Score >60/100
- 78 Passing the clinical examination
- Passing the performance tests (Heel Rise Test; qualitative running analysis)

Aim / Focus	Tests	Progression criteria	Explanation choice of tests	Methodological considerations	Training exercises	Explanation choice of training exercises
	Ankle Function Score	AFS > 60/100	- See above.	 60 points is nearly the middle of 40 and 75 (empirical experienced) 40 means low impact (ADL) 75 means high impact and "recovered"^{7,71} 		
	Clinical examination	ADT / TLT	 control of laxicity established tests in clinical settings and progression control^{7,28} 	 ensures stability (no laxicity) during running in landing and propulsion phase (no talus translation) 	Linear running	long stance phase (less reactive impacts)
		Swelling ROM	 reaction indicated through increase in effusion (→ control) 		Progression in running speed	prepares for more dynamic reactive training skills in level 3
					Start with jumps (progression)	prepares for jump tests in level 3
Runni		MFT	- ensures muscular stability surround the ankle joint	 more strength is needed for stance phase during running (Level 2) compared to weigth bearing stance phase (Level 1) 		Prepares for more dynamic and reactive training exercises in
ng	Heel Rise Test (HRT)	Heel Rise Test (LSI 90%)	 established test for lower limb (foot, ankle) rehabilitation^{62,79} established in rehabilitation protocols⁴⁷ used as progression criteria⁶² test for endurance and strength of the calf muscle performance^{61,62,79,81} 	 calf muscle power impaired after injury HRT prior to running analysis (progression) HRT assesses calf muscle isolated whilst running analysis assesses calf muscle in performance calf muscles essential for movement like running / walking^{40,61,62} 		level 3
	Running analysis	Running analysis	 recommend for lower limb injury (ACL)¹¹ essential information about running rhtythm¹¹ Assessment of walking gait is endorsed following acute LAS¹⁵ 	 detectable deficits in HRT exclude from running analysis 		

85 Level 3 (jump ability)

86 Level 3: Aims

The goal of this level is the progressive increase of linear running speeds under increasingly reactive aspects (short ground contact time) and the implementation of dynamic-reactive imprint patterns in both the frontal and sagittal planes (tab.3).

90

91 Level 3: Progression (entrance) criteria and considerations

- 92 The athlete must pass the following criteria to transition to Level 3:
- 93 AFS > 75/100
- 94 Passing the clinical examination
- Passing the performance tests (Side Hop Test (SHT); Triple Hop Test (THD))

Aim / Focus	Tests	Progression criteria	Explanation choice of tests	Methodological considerations	Training exercises	Explanation choice of training exercises
Jump performance ability	Ankle Function Score	>75/100	See above. - cutoff point for being healed was defined as obtaining more than 75 points on a function score ⁷	 >75/100 correspondens to full recovery⁷¹, correspondens to expected loads of training in level 3 (jumps, reactive impacts) 		
	Clinical examination	ADT / TLT Swelling ROM	See above.	See above.		
	Side Hop Test (SHT)	Side Hop Test (LSI 90%)	 established test in ankle rehabilitation highly recommend for ankle assessment^{28,82} good reliability (r>0,85); heightened sensitivity (77%)⁵⁸ recommended as a RTP criterion⁸³ assessments recommended for both frontal and sagittal plane⁸³ 	 transition of "guided" closed-chain exercises to dynamic reactive impacts in level 3 training exercises SHT assesses reactive impacts frontal plane prior to sagittal plane (LFTA in sagittal direction; talus translation) test forces lateral stress to the joint^{28,83} 	ladder training (short reactive impacts) extensive intervall running	reactive stress promotes collagen synthesis (essential to this phase of healing) ¹⁴ Shift towards fore foot exercises increased running speed forces short reactive dynamic GRF
	Triple Hop Test (THD)	Triple Hop Test (LSI 90%)	- reliability: 0.94-0.95 ²⁹	 isolated test in sagittal jump direction enforces explosive strength of the thigh:²⁶ Therefore begin with jump training in level 2 frontal plane Test (SHT) prior to sagittal plane (THD) test (C LFTA direction) 		

102 Level 4: jumps and rehabilitative athletic skills

103 Level 4: Aims

Level 4 aims to train high-load football-specific athletic skills (sprinting, change of direction,cutting) (tab.4).

106 Level 4: Progression (entrance) criteria and considerations

- 107 To enter Level 4, the following testing criteria must be met:
- 108 AFS > 85/100
- 109 Passing the clinical examination
- 110Passing the performance tests (Square Hop Test (SQHT), Crossover Hop for Distance
- 111 (CHD), mod. 6 meters timed Crossover (mT6CH)
- 112
- 113
- 114

115 SM_1.4. Table4. Level 4

Aim / Focus	Tests	Progression criteria	Explanation choice of tests	Methodological considerations	Training exercises	Explanation choice of training exercises
	Ankle Function Score	AFS > 85/100	See above.	 75/100 full recovery⁷¹ 85/100 demonstrates further improvements (continous progression) 		
	Clinical examination	ADT / TLT	See above.			
Jump power		Swelling ROM		 max. static plantarflexion ROM is essential for ball training in following RTS level. 39% of shoots exceed max. plantarflexion to the ankle joint⁶⁸ 		
performance / re	Square Hop Test (SQHT)	Square Hop Test (LSI 90%)	 assessment of both frontal and sagittal plane under controlled conditions useful test for lower limb / ankle injury rehabilitation (Quelle) good reliability (ICC of 0.90; SEM 1.40)⁸³ 	 assessment of both planes isolated under controlled conditions while THD + SHT assess only one direction (level 3), SQHT assesses both directions simultaneously (progression to level 3 tests) test reaktice on the fore foot (skills prior trained in level 3) 	Athletic skills Multidirectional movement pattern Sprinting	Level 3 short impacts (controlled) Level 4 high (running) load + cutting
habilitation athle	Crossover Hop for Distance Test (CHD)	Crossover Hop for Distance Test (LSI 90%)	 reliability: 0.85-0.96²⁹ established in lower limb rehabilitation assessment of both frontal and sagittal plane under reduced controlled conditions compared to SQHT 	 similar to THD with additional component (middle line) enforces further progression high coordinative demands / motor control through landing task jumps across the line enforces stress to the lateral ligaments 		
tic skills	Mod. 6m timed Crossover Hop for Distance Test (mT6H)	Mod. 6m timed Crossover Hop for Distance Test (LSI 90%)	 established test for lower limb rehabilitation⁵⁶ test of reactive impacts additional time component T6H reliability: 0.66-0.97²⁹ 	 mod. version: addition of a middle line test modifications for specific needs suggested⁴⁵ further progression to CHD (additional time component) final test both of the level and the entire rehabilitation progression 		

7. RTS Level

RTS-Level: Football-specific rehabilitation

RTS-Level: Aims

The RTS level aims to increase load progressively with football-specific ball training.

RTS-Level: Progression (entrance) criteria and considerations

The entry criteria to the RTS level are defined as follows

- AFS > 95/100
- Passing the entire test battery
- Clinical clearance by PT

Entry into the RTS level requires an AFS>95/100 points, unrestricted clearance by clinical examination and passing the entire test battery.

Aims and Training Exercises RTS-Level:

The goal of the RTS level is the gradual increase of football-specific training with the ball. We recommend a gradual, progressive approach. This should take into account the biomechanical impacts on the ligamentous apparatus.

Shinkai et al.⁶⁷ determined an average impact force of 1400 N, which can increase to 2900 N when a ball is kicked at a speed of 16.3 m/sec. Tol⁶⁸ found that the ball predominantly impacts with the anterio-medial part of the foot/ankle and determined an impact force of 1025 N at an average ball speed of 24.6 m/sec. In almost half of all impacts (39%), the plantar flexion angles exceeded the maximum static plantar flexion angles. This means that the anterior part of the capsule and the joint are subjected to maximum strain. Prematurely forced kicks can negatively affect tissue regeneration and lead to impaired healing of ligaments and receptors after injury. Repetitive stress and destruction of the capsule and receptors can lead to chronic impairments (increased muscle reaction time, deafferentation, reduced sensory) and, in the long term, to a "footballer's ankle" (impingement syndrome).^{68,84}

Arundale⁶⁵ describes an interval kicking progression (IKP) that can be adapted for ankle sprain rehabilitation. We recommend an individualised kicking progression from passing to kicking from short to long distance, taking into account tissue load capacity and stage of tissue healing. The kicking progression should be extended with athletic training skills (highly dynamic

running and sprinting with multidirectional cutting skills) to restore football-specific endurance and best prepare the athlete for partial participation in team training (next level RTP.